# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Oriented hypergraphic matrix-tree and Sachs type theorems

Lucas Rusnak

5th annual Mississippi Discrete Math Workshop

5 November 2017

[Overview of objects](#page-2-0) [Assiciated Matrices](#page-7-0) [Incidence Intricacies](#page-17-0)

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**Definitions**

A graph is a collections of labeled 2-subsets of  $V$ .

• A set system is a collections of labeled subsets of  $P(V)$ .



- <span id="page-2-0"></span>• A directed graph consists of disjoint sets  $V$  and  $E$ , and a pair of functions  $(\sigma, \tau)$  from  $E \rightarrow V$ .
- An *incidence hypergraph* consists of disjoint sets  $V$ ,  $E$ , and  $V$ and a function  $\iota: I \to V \times E$ .



- A directed graph consists of disjoint sets  $V$  and  $E$ , and a pair of functions  $(\sigma, \tau)$  from  $E \rightarrow V$ .
- An *incidence hypergraph* consists of disjoint sets  $V$ ,  $E$ , and  $V$ and a function  $\iota: I \to V \times E$ .



- A graph is a collections of labeled 2-subsets of  $V$ .
- A directed graph consists of disjoint sets  $V$  and  $E$ , and a pair of functions  $(\sigma, \tau)$  from  $E \rightarrow V$ .
- A set system is a collections of labeled subsets of  $P(V)$ .
- An *incidence hypergraph* consists of disjoint sets  $V$ ,  $E$ , and  $V$ and a function  $\iota: I \to V \times E$ .



- A signed graph is a graph with an edge signing function  $\psi$  :  $E \to \{+1, -1\}$ .
- An oriented hypergraph is an incidence hypergraph is an incidence signing function  $\sigma : I \rightarrow \{+1,-1\}.$



- A signed graph is a graph with an edge signing function  $\psi$  :  $E \to \{+1, -1\}$ .
- An oriented hypergraph is an incidence hypergraph is an incidence signing function  $\sigma : I \rightarrow \{+1,-1\}.$





#### [Background](#page-1-0)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>[Sachs' Theorem and the Matrix-tree Theorem](#page-21-0) [Categorical Insights](#page-37-0) **[References](#page-43-0)**  [Assiciated Matrices](#page-7-0) [Incidence Intricacies](#page-17-0)

## Associated Matrices

- Incidence Matrix:  $\mathrm{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$
- Degree Matrix:  $D_G$
- Adjacency Matrix: A<sub>G</sub>
- Laplacian Matrix:  $L_G := D_G A_G = H_G H_G^T$



- Incidence Matrix:  $H_G$
- Degree Matrix:  $D_G$
- Adjacency Matrix: A<sub>G</sub>
- Laplacian Matrix:  $L_G := D_G A_G = H_G H_G^T$



- Incidence Matrix:  $H_G$
- Degree Matrix:  $D_G$
- Adjacency Matrix:  $A_G$
- Laplacian Matrix:  $L_G := D_G A_G = H_G H_G^T$



- Incidence Matrix:  $H_G$
- Degree Matrix:  $D_G$
- Adjacency Matrix: A<sub>G</sub>
- Laplacian Matrix:  $L_G := D_G A_G = H_G H_G^T$



#### [Background](#page-1-0)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>[Sachs' Theorem and the Matrix-tree Theorem](#page-21-0) [Categorical Insights](#page-37-0) **[References](#page-43-0)** 

[Assiciated Matrices](#page-7-0) [Graph Theorems](#page-12-0) [Incidence Intricacies](#page-17-0)

## Graph Theorems

[Overview of objects](#page-1-0) [Graph Theorems](#page-12-0) [Incidence Intricacies](#page-17-0)

#### Theorem (Sachs' Theorem)

Let G be a graph,  $\mathscr{B}_i$  be the set of basic figures with exactly i isolated vertices,  $tf(B)$  be the total number of elementary figures, and  $cf(B)$  be the number of circuits in B.

$$
\chi(A_G, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{|V|} \Big( \sum_{B \in \mathscr{B}_i} (-1)^{tf(B)} (2)^{cf(B)} \Big) x^i.
$$



[Overview of objects](#page-1-0) [Assiciated Matrices](#page-7-0) [Graph Theorems](#page-12-0) [Incidence Intricacies](#page-17-0)

- An elementary figure is a circuit or a path of length 1.
- A basic figure is a disjoint union of elementary figures.



[Overview of objects](#page-1-0) [Graph Theorems](#page-12-0) [Incidence Intricacies](#page-17-0)

#### Theorem (Matrix-tree Theorem)

Let G be a graph,  $T(G)$  be the number of spanning trees of G, and  $L_{ij}$  be the ij-minor of the Laplacian, then

 $det(L_{ij}) = (-1)^{i+j} \mathcal{T}(G).$ 



[Overview of objects](#page-1-0) [Assiciated Matrices](#page-7-0) [Graph Theorems](#page-12-0) [Incidence Intricacies](#page-17-0)

#### Theorem (Tutte's Transpedance Theorem)

The ordered second cofactors produce an edge labelling that satisfies Kirchhoff's Laws. Moreover, the initial energy is the in equal to the first cofactor.



#### [Background](#page-1-0)

<span id="page-17-0"></span>[Sachs' Theorem and the Matrix-tree Theorem](#page-21-0) [Categorical Insights](#page-37-0) **[References](#page-43-0)** 

[Assiciated Matrices](#page-7-0) [Graph Theorems](#page-12-0) [Incidence Intricacies](#page-17-0)

## Incidence Intricacies

[Overview of objects](#page-1-0) [Incidence Intricacies](#page-17-0)

- A *k-weak walk* is an incidence preserving embedding of  $\overrightarrow{P}_k$ into G.
- A backstep is a non-incidence-monic weak walk of length 1.



#### **[Background](#page-1-0)**

[Sachs' Theorem and the Matrix-tree Theorem](#page-21-0) [Categorical Insights](#page-37-0) [References](#page-43-0) [Overview of objects](#page-1-0) [Assiciated Matrices](#page-7-0) [Incidence Intricacies](#page-17-0)

#### Theorem

Let G be an oriented hypergraph,

- $\bigoplus$  H<sub>G</sub> is the half-walk matrix,
- $\bullet$  -D<sub>G</sub> is the strictly 1-weak-walk matrix,
- $\bigcirc$  A<sub>G</sub> is the 1-weak-walk matrix,
- $\bigcirc$  -L<sub>G</sub> is the 1-weak walk matrix,
- $\mathbf{S}$   $A_{G}^{k}$  is the k-walk matrix,
- $\mathbf{G}$   $(-1)^{k}L_{G}^{k}$  is the k-weak walk matrix,

[Sachs' Theorem](#page-21-0)

## Hypergraphic Sachs-type Theorems

[Sachs' Theorem](#page-21-0)

- <span id="page-21-0"></span>• A contributor of G is an incidence preserving map from a disjoint union of  $\overrightarrow{P}_1$ 's into G defined by  $c: \coprod \overrightarrow{P}_1 \to G$  such v∈V that  $c(t_v) = v$  and  $\{c(h_v) | v \in V\} = V$ .
- Let  $\mathfrak{C}(G)$  denote the set of contributors.



[Sachs' Theorem](#page-21-0)

### Definition

 $\mathfrak{C}_{=\mathsf{k}}(\mathsf{G})$  is the set of contributors of  $G$  with exactly  $\mathsf{k}$  backsteps.  $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{=k}(G)$  removes k backsteps.



[Sachs' Theorem](#page-21-0)

### Definition

 $\mathfrak{C}_{\geq k}(G)$  is the set of contributors of G with at least  $k$  backsteps.  $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\geq k}(G)$  removes k backsteps.



[Sachs' Theorem](#page-21-0)

#### Theorem (Chen, Liu, Robinson, R., Wang, 2017)

Let G be an oriented hypergraph with adjacency matrix  $A_G$  and Laplacian matrix  $L_G$ , then

$$
\bullet \ \ perm(L_G) = \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}(G)} (-1)^{oc(c) + nc(c)},
$$

$$
\bullet \ \det(L_G) = \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}(G)} (-1)^{pc(c)},
$$

$$
\bullet \ \ perm(A_G) = \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{C}_{=0}(G)} (-1)^{nc(c)},
$$

$$
\bullet \ \det(A_G) = \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{C}_{=0}(G)} (-1)^{ec(c)+nc(c)}.
$$

[Sachs' Theorem](#page-21-0)

### Theorem (Chen, Liu, Robinson, R., Wang, 2017)

Let G be an oriented hypergraph with adjacency matrix  $A_G$  and Laplacian matrix  $L_G$ , then

$$
\begin{split} &\bullet\ \chi^P(A_G,x)=\sum_{k=0}^{|V|}\left(\sum_{c\in\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{=k}(G)}(-1)^{oc(c)+nc(c)}\right)x^k,\\ &\bullet\ \chi^D(A_G,x)=\sum_{k=0}^{|V|}\left(\sum_{c\in\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{=k}(G)}(-1)^{pc(c)}\right)x^k,\\ &\bullet\ \chi^P(L_G,x)=\sum_{k=0}^{|V|}\left(\sum_{c\in\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\ge k}(G)}(-1)^{nc(c)+bs(c)}\right)x^k,\\ &\bullet\ \chi^D(L_G,x)=\sum_{k=0}^{|V|}\left(\sum_{c\in\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\ge k}(G)}(-1)^{ec(c)+nc(c)+bs(c)}\right)x^k. \end{split}
$$

[Sachs' Theorem](#page-21-0)





[Sachs' Theorem](#page-21-0) [Matrix-tree Theorem](#page-28-0)

## Hypergraphic Matrix-tree-type Theorems

[Matrix-tree Theorem](#page-28-0)

- <span id="page-28-0"></span>•  $[M]_{(U;W)}$  is the minor obtained by striking out rows U and columns W from M.
- $\mathfrak{C}(U;W;G)$  is the set of all  $c: \coprod \overrightarrow{P}_1 \to G$  with  $p(t_u) = u$  $u∈\overline{U}$ and  $\{p(h_u) \mid u \in \overline{U}\} = \overline{W}$ .



[Matrix-tree Theorem](#page-28-0)

#### Theorem (Robinson, R., Schmidt, Shroff, 2017)

Let G be an oriented hypergraph with adjacency matrix  $A_G$  and Laplacian matrix  $L_G$ , then

$$
\text{Derm}([L_G]_{(U;W)}) = \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{C}(U;W;G)} (-1)^{on(c)+nn(c)},
$$

$$
\bullet \ \det([L_G]_{(U;W)}) = \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{C}(U;W;G)} \varepsilon(c) \cdot (-1)^{on(c)+nn(c)},
$$

$$
\bullet \ \ perm([A_G]_{(U;W)}) = \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{S}(U;W;G)} (-1)^{nn(c)},
$$

$$
\text{det}([A_G]_{(U;W)}) = \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{S}(U;W;G)} \varepsilon(c) \cdot (-1)^{en(c)+nn(c)}.
$$

Where  $\varepsilon(c)$  is the number of inversions in the natural bijection from  $\overline{U}$  to  $\overline{W}$ .

[Applications](#page-31-0)

## **Applications**

L.J. Rusnak [Oriented Hypergraphs](#page-0-0)

[Applications](#page-31-0)

#### <span id="page-31-0"></span>Theorem (Robinson, R., Schmidt, Shroff, 2017)

"Activation classes" of a bidirected graph are boolean.



[Applications](#page-31-0)

- $\bullet$  Two contributors  $c$  and  $d$  are uw-equivalent if  $c(h_u) = d(h_u) = w$ .
- The  $(u; w)$ -cut of activation class A is the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}/\sim_{uw}$ where each element has  $c(h_u) = w$ .



[Applications](#page-31-0)

#### Lemma (Robinson, R., Schmidt, Shroff, 2017)

If G is a signed graph, then

$$
\det(L_G) = \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{M}^-} 2^{nc(c)}.
$$

Where M− is the set of maximal elements from the positive-circle-free activation classes.

#### **Corollary**

If G is a balanced signed graph, then det( $L_G$ ) = 0.

[Applications](#page-31-0)

### Lemma (Robinson, R., Schmidt, Shroff, 2017)

If G is a bidirected graph, then the set of elements in all single-element  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\neq 0}(u;w;G')$  is activation equivalent to the set of spanning trees of G.



[Applications](#page-31-0)

### Theorem (Chen, Liu, Robinson, R., Wang, 2017)

Let G be an oriented hypergraph with no isolated vertices or 0-edges with Laplacian matrix  $L_G$ , then

- $\bigcirc$  - $|\mathfrak{C}(G)|$  < perm $(L_G) \leq |\mathfrak{C}(G)|$ , and perm $(L_G) = |\mathfrak{C}(G)|$  if, and only if, G is extroverted or introverted,
- $\bigotimes -|\mathfrak{C}(G)| < \det(L_G) \leq |\mathfrak{C}(G)|$ , and  $\det(L_G) = |\mathfrak{C}(G)|$  if, and only if, the connected components of G consist of bouquets of introverted or extroverted edges.

#### Theorem (Chen, Liu, Robinson, R., Wang, 2017)

If G is a balanced signed graph, then perm $(A_G)$  is maximal and equals  $|\mathfrak{C}_{=0}(G)|$ .

[Categorical Insights](#page-37-0)

# Insight into object comparisons and naturality

<span id="page-37-0"></span>[Background](#page-1-0)<br>ree Theorem Sachs' Theorem and the Matrix-tree [Categorical Insights](#page-37-0) [References](#page-43-0)

[Categorical Insights](#page-37-0)

#### Definitions (Graph-like Categories)

- $\bigodot$  Quivers:  $\mathfrak{Q}$  := (id<sub>Set</sub>  $\downarrow$  Δ<sup>\*</sup>Δ)
- **@** Incidence Structures:  $\mathfrak{R} \coloneqq (id_{Set} \downarrow \Delta^{\star})$

**6** Set Systems: 
$$
\mathfrak{H} \coloneqq (id_{Set} \downarrow \mathcal{P})
$$

**4** Multigraphs:  $M$  is the coreflective subcategory of  $\tilde{\eta}$  with set size restricted to 2.



[Categorical Insights](#page-37-0)



Figure: Full functorial diagram for  $\mathfrak{Q}, \mathfrak{M}$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}$ 

[Categorical Insights](#page-37-0)



 $\Omega_G$ 

G

[Categorical Insights](#page-37-0)



Figure: Natural functor diagram for  $\Omega$  &  $\Re$ 

[Categorical Insights](#page-37-0)



Figure: Functorial diagram for  $\mathfrak{Q}, \mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{H}, \& \mathfrak{R}$ 

- 51 G. Chen, V. Liu, E. Robinson, L. J. Rusnak, and K. Wang. A characterization of oriented hypergraphic laplacian and adjacency matrix coefficients. ArXiv. 1704.03599 [math.CO], 2017.
- **E.** E. Robinson, L. J. Rusnak, M. Schmidt, and P. Shroff. Oriented hypergraphic matrix-tree type theorems and bidirected minors via boolean ideals. ArXiv. 1709.04011 [math.CO], 2017.
- 6 L.J. Rusnak.

Oriented hypergraphs: Introduction and balance. Electronic J. Combinatorics, 20(3)(#P48), 2013

<span id="page-43-0"></span>Proof of  $perm(L_G)$ :

Via weak walks:

$$
{\rm perm}\big(L_G\big)=\sum_{\pi\in S_V}\prod_{v\in V}\sum_{\omega\in \Omega_{1,\pi}}-sgn\big(\omega\big(\overrightarrow{P}_1\big)\big),
$$

where  $\Omega_{1,\pi}$  is the set of all incidence preserving maps  $\omega : \overrightarrow{P}_1 \to G$  with  $\omega(t) = v$  and  $\omega(h) = \pi(v)$ .

[Background](#page-1-0)<br>ree Theorem Sachs' Theorem and the Matrix-tree [Categorical Insights](#page-37-0) [References](#page-43-0)

Proof of *perm* $(L_G)$ :

Via weak walks:

$$
{\rm perm}\big(L_G\big)=\sum_{\pi\in S_V}\prod_{v\in V}\sum_{\omega\in \Omega_{1,\pi}}-sgn\big(\omega\big(\overrightarrow{P}_1\big)\big),
$$

where  $\Omega_{1,\pi}$  is the set of all incidence preserving maps  $\omega : \overrightarrow{P}_1 \to G$  with  $\omega(t) = v$  and  $\omega(h) = \pi(v)$ .

Distribute, but do not evaluate, the inner sums for all  $v \in V$ . Sum passes to incidence preserving maps  $c : \coprod_{i} \overrightarrow{P}_1 \rightarrow G$  with v∈V<br>∩  $\omega(t_v)$  = v,  $\omega(h_v)$  =  $\pi(v)$ , and  $\{\omega(h_v) | v \in V\}$  = V.

[Background](#page-1-0)<br>ree\_Theorem Sachs' Theorem and the Matrix-tree [Categorical Insights](#page-37-0) [References](#page-43-0)

Proof of *perm* $(L_G)$ :

Via weak walks:

$$
{\rm perm}\big(L_G\big)=\sum_{\pi\in S_V}\prod_{v\in V}\sum_{\omega\in \Omega_{1,\pi}}-sgn\big(\omega\big(\overrightarrow{P}_1\big)\big),
$$

where  $\Omega_{1,\pi}$  is the set of all incidence preserving maps  $\omega : \overrightarrow{P}_1 \to G$  with  $\omega(t) = v$  and  $\omega(h) = \pi(v)$ .

- Distribute, but do not evaluate, the inner sums for all  $v \in V$ . Sum passes to incidence preserving maps  $c : \coprod_{i} \overrightarrow{P}_1 \rightarrow G$  with v∈V<br>∩  $\omega(t_v)$  = v,  $\omega(h_v)$  =  $\pi(v)$ , and  $\{\omega(h_v) | v \in V\}$  = V.
- Collecting permutomorphic contributors gives:

$$
\mathrm{perm}\big(L_G\big)=\sum_{\pi\in S_V}\sum_{c\in\mathfrak{C}_\pi(G)}\prod_{v\in V}\sigma\big(c(i_v)\big)\sigma\big(c(\big(j_v\big)).
$$

Proof of  $perm(L_G)$  continued:

• Consider the product ∏ v∈V  $\sigma(c(i_v))\sigma(c(j_v)).$ 

- Consider the product ∏  $\sigma(c(i_v))\sigma(c(j_v)).$
- v∈V ■ Factor out  $-1$  for each adjacency determined by  $c$ , producing a factor of  $(-1)^{oc(c)}$ .

- Consider the product  $\prod_{v} \sigma(c(i_v))\sigma(c((j_v))$ . v∈V
- Factor out  $-1$  for each adjacency determined by  $c$ , producing a factor of  $(-1)^{oc(c)}$ .
- $\bullet$  This forces every negative/positive adjacency in  $G$  appear as a value of  $-1/+1$  in  $L_G$ .

- Consider the product  $\prod_{v} \sigma(c(i_v))\sigma(c((j_v))$ .
- $\begin{array}{lll} & \downarrow_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{v} \ \bullet & \mathfrak{F} \ \bullet & \mathfrak{F} \ \bullet & \mathfrak{F} \end{array}$  Factor out  $-1$  for each adjacency determined by  $c$ , producing a factor of  $(-1)^{oc(c)}$ .
- $\bullet$  This forces every negative/positive adjacency in  $G$  appear as a value of  $-1/+1$  in  $L_G$ .
- Factor out  $-1$  from every adjacency that is negative in  $G$ , producing a factor of  $(-1)^{nc(c)}$  and a net factor of  $(-1)^{oc(c)+nc(c)}$ .

- Consider the product  $\prod_{v} \sigma(c(i_v))\sigma(c((j_v))$ .
- $\begin{array}{lll} & \downarrow_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{v} \ \bullet & \mathfrak{F} \ \bullet & \mathfrak{F} \ \bullet & \mathfrak{F} \end{array}$  Factor out  $-1$  for each adjacency determined by  $c$ , producing a factor of  $(-1)^{oc(c)}$ .
- $\bullet$  This forces every negative/positive adjacency in  $G$  appear as a value of  $-1/+1$  in  $L_G$ .
- Factor out  $-1$  from every adjacency that is negative in  $G$ , producing a factor of  $(-1)^{nc(c)}$  and a net factor of  $(-1)^{oc(c)+nc(c)}$ .
- $\bullet$  Thus,

$$
\mathrm{perm}\big(L_G\big)=\sum_{\pi\in S_V}\sum_{c\in\mathfrak{C}_\pi(G)}(-1)^{oc(c)+nc(c)},
$$

Proof of  $perm(L_G)$  continued:

- Consider the product  $\prod_{v} \sigma(c(i_v))\sigma(c((j_v))$ .
- $\begin{array}{lll} & \downarrow_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{v} \ \bullet & \mathfrak{F} \ \bullet & \mathfrak{F} \ \bullet & \mathfrak{F} \end{array}$  Factor out  $-1$  for each adjacency determined by  $c$ , producing a factor of  $(-1)^{oc(c)}$ .
- $\bullet$  This forces every negative/positive adjacency in  $G$  appear as a value of  $-1/+1$  in  $L_G$ .
- Factor out  $-1$  from every adjacency that is negative in  $G$ , producing a factor of  $(-1)^{nc(c)}$  and a net factor of  $(-1)^{oc(c)+nc(c)}$ .
- $\bullet$  Thus,

$$
\mathrm{perm}\big(L_G\big)=\sum_{\pi\in S_V}\sum_{c\in \mathfrak{C}_\pi(G)}(-1)^{oc(c)+nc(c)},
$$

• Combine to get

$$
\mathrm{perm}\big(L_G\big)=\sum_{c\in \mathfrak{C}(G)} (-1)^{oc(c)+nc(c)}.
$$