Improving Olson's Generalization of the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem

David J. Grynkiewicz

University of Memphis

October 3, 2018

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Definition Let G be an abelian group. A sequence

$$S = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_\ell$$

of terms from G is a finite, unordered string of elements $g_i \in G$, i.e., a multiset written multiplicatively.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Definition Let G be an abelian group. A sequence

$$S = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_\ell$$

of terms from G is a finite, unordered string of elements $g_i \in G$, i.e., a multiset written multiplicatively.

The g_i are the terms of S

Definition Let G be an abelian group. A sequence

$$S = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_\ell$$

of terms from G is a finite, unordered string of elements $g_i \in G$, i.e., a multiset written multiplicatively.

The g_i are the terms of S $|S| = \ell$ is the length of S

Definition Let G be an abelian group. A sequence

$$S = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_\ell$$

of terms from G is a finite, unordered string of elements $g_i \in G$, i.e., a multiset written multiplicatively.

```
The g_i are the terms of S
|S| = \ell is the length of S
\sigma(S) = g_1 + \ldots + g_\ell is the sum of S
```

Definition Let G be an abelian group. A sequence

$$S = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_\ell$$

of terms from G is a finite, unordered string of elements $g_i \in G$, i.e., a multiset written multiplicatively.

The g_i are the terms of S $|S| = \ell$ is the length of S $\sigma(S) = g_1 + \ldots + g_\ell$ is the sum of S

 $\Sigma_n(S) = \{g \in G : g \text{ is the sum of an } n \text{-term subsequence of } S\}$

Theorem (Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem (1961)) Let S be a sequence of terms from a finite abelian group G. Then

 $|\mathcal{S}| \geq 2|\mathcal{G}| - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \in \Sigma_{|\mathcal{G}|}(\mathcal{S}).$

Theorem (Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem (1961)) Let S be a sequence of terms from a finite abelian group G. Then

$$|S| \ge 2|G| - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \in \Sigma_{|G|}(S).$$

► The bound 2|G| - 1 is tight for cyclic groups: $\underbrace{1 \cdot \ldots \cdot 1}_{|G|-1} \cdot \underbrace{0 \cdot \ldots \cdot 0}_{|G|-1}$.

Theorem (Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem (1961)) Let S be a sequence of terms from a finite abelian group G. Then

$$|S| \ge 2|G| - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \in \Sigma_{|G|}(S).$$

► The bound 2|G| - 1 is tight for cyclic groups: $\underbrace{1 \cdot \ldots \cdot 1}_{|G|-1} \cdot \underbrace{0 \cdot \ldots \cdot 0}_{|G|-1}$.

Not tight in general

Theorem (Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem (1961)) Let S be a sequence of terms from a finite abelian group G. Then

 $|S| \geq 2|G| - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \in \Sigma_{|G|}(S).$

► The bound 2|G| - 1 is tight for cyclic groups: $\underbrace{1 \cdot \ldots \cdot 1}_{|G|-1} \cdot \underbrace{0 \cdot \ldots \cdot 0}_{|G|-1}$.

 Not tight in general (Gao, 1995) Optimal bound

$$|S| \geq |G| + \mathsf{D}(G) - 1,$$

where D(G) is the Davenport Constant of G, i.e, the minimal integer such that

$$|S| \ge \mathsf{D}(G) \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \in \mathsf{\Sigma}(S) := \bigcup_{n \ge 1} \mathsf{\Sigma}_n(S).$$

Theorem (Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem (1961)) Let S be a sequence of terms from a finite abelian group G. Then

$$|S| \ge 2|G| - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \in \Sigma_{|G|}(S).$$

• Why zero?

$$S = g \cdot \ldots \cdot g$$
 has $\Sigma_{|G|}(S) = \{0\}.$

Theorem (Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem (1961)) Let S be a sequence of terms from a finite abelian group G. Then

$$|S| \ge 2|G| - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \in \Sigma_{|G|}(S).$$

► Why zero? $S = g \cdot \ldots \cdot g$ has $\Sigma_{|G|}(S) = \{0\}$. If all terms of *S* from same coset $\alpha + H$, then $\Sigma_{|G|}(S) \subseteq H$.

The Coset Condition

Definition

We say the sequence S of terms from the finite abelian group G satisfies the **coset condition** if, for every proper subgroup H < G and $\alpha \in G$, there are at least |G/H| - 1 terms of S lying outside the coset $\alpha + H$.

The Coset Condition

Definition

We say the sequence S of terms from the finite abelian group G satisfies the **coset condition** if, for every proper subgroup H < G and $\alpha \in G$, there are at least |G/H| - 1 terms of S lying outside the coset $\alpha + H$.

• Equivalently, at most |S| - |G/H| + 1 terms of S from the coset $\alpha + H$.

The Coset Condition

Definition

We say the sequence S of terms from the finite abelian group G satisfies the **coset condition** if, for every proper subgroup H < G and $\alpha \in G$, there are at least |G/H| - 1 terms of S lying outside the coset $\alpha + H$.

- Equivalently, at most |S| |G/H| + 1 terms of S from the coset $\alpha + H$.
- Special case: H = {0} is trivial, then coset condition ensures that the maximum multiplicity in S is at most |S| − |G| + 1.

Olson's Generalization

Theorem (Olson (1977))

Let S be a sequence of terms from a finite abelian group G. Suppose S satisfies the coset condition. Then

$$|S| \geq 2|G| - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Olson's Generalization

Theorem (Olson (1977))

Let S be a sequence of terms from a finite abelian group G. Suppose S satisfies the coset condition. Then

$$|S| \geq 2|G| - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G.$$

• Extended the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ with p prime (Mann 1967).

Olson's Generalization

Theorem (Olson (1977))

Let S be a sequence of terms from a finite abelian group G. Suppose S satisfies the coset condition. Then

$$|S| \geq 2|G| - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G.$$

• Extended the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ with p prime (Mann 1967).

Question: Is the bound $|S| \ge 2|G| - 1$ tight?

Improvements to Olson's Result

▶ (Gao 1995) Suppose S satisfies the coset condition. Then

 $|S| \ge |G| + \mathsf{D}(G) - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G.$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Improvements to Olson's Result

► (Gao 1995) Suppose S satisfies the coset condition. Then $|S| \ge |G| + D(G) - 1 \implies \Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G.$

Basic bounds:

$$1+\sum_{i=1}^r(m_i-1)=\mathsf{D}^*(\mathcal{G})\leq\mathsf{D}(\mathcal{G})\leq|\mathcal{G}|,$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

where $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}/m_r\mathbb{Z}$ with $m_1 \mid \ldots \mid m_r = \exp(G)$.

Improvements to Olson's Result

► (Gao 1995) Suppose S satisfies the coset condition. Then $|S| \ge |G| + D(G) - 1 \implies \Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G.$

Basic bounds:

$$1+\sum_{i=1}^r(m_i-1)=\mathsf{D}^*(\mathcal{G})\leq \mathsf{D}(\mathcal{G})\leq |\mathcal{G}|,$$

where $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}/m_r\mathbb{Z}$ with $m_1 \mid \ldots \mid m_r = \exp(G)$.

 (G., Marchan, Ordaz (2009)) Suppose S satisfies the coset condition. Then

$$|S| \ge |G| + \mathsf{D}^*(G) - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G.$$

• If
$$|S| = |G| + n$$
, then $\Sigma_{|G|}(S) = \sigma(S) - \Sigma_n(S)$.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} T & \longleftrightarrow & S \cdot T^{[-1]} \\ \sigma(T) & \longleftrightarrow & \sigma(S \cdot T^{[-1]}) = \sigma(S) - \sigma(T) \end{array}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

• If
$$|S| = |G| + n$$
, then $\Sigma_{|G|}(S) = \sigma(S) - \Sigma_n(S)$.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} T & \longleftrightarrow & S \cdot T^{[-1]} \\ \sigma(T) & \longleftrightarrow & \sigma(S \cdot T^{[-1]}) = \sigma(S) - \sigma(T) \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 Terms with multiplicity exceeding n are redundant. They may as well be discarded when considering Σ_n(S).

• If
$$|S| = |G| + n$$
, then $\Sigma_{|G|}(S) = \sigma(S) - \Sigma_n(S)$.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} T & \longleftrightarrow & S \cdot T^{[-1]} \\ \sigma(T) & \longleftrightarrow & \sigma(S \cdot T^{[-1]}) = \sigma(S) - \sigma(T) \end{array}$$

- Terms with multiplicity exceeding n are redundant. They may as well be discarded when considering Σ_n(S).
- Coset condition implies max multiplicity is at most

$$|S| - |G| + 1 = n + 1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• If
$$|S| = |G| + n$$
, then $\Sigma_{|G|}(S) = \sigma(S) - \Sigma_n(S)$.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} T & \longleftrightarrow & S \cdot T^{[-1]} \\ \sigma(T) & \longleftrightarrow & \sigma(S \cdot T^{[-1]}) = \sigma(S) - \sigma(T) \end{array}$$

- Terms with multiplicity exceeding n are redundant. They may as well be discarded when considering Σ_n(S).
- Coset condition implies max multiplicity is at most

$$|S| - |G| + 1 = n + 1.$$

Natural to impose max multiplicity instead at most

$$|S|-|G|=n.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Tight Bounds

Theorem (G. (2018))

Let G be a finite abelian group, let $n \ge 2$, and let S be a sequence of terms from G with

(a) |S| = |G| + n,

(b) satisfying the coset condition, and

(c) having maximum multiplicity at most n.

Then $\Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G$ whenever

Tight Bounds

Theorem (G. (2018))

Let G be a finite abelian group, let $n \ge 2$, and let S be a sequence of terms from G with

(a) |S| = |G| + n,

(b) satisfying the coset condition, and

(c) having maximum multiplicity at most n.

Then $\Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G$ whenever

1. $n \ge \exp(G)$, or

Tight Bounds

Theorem (G. (2018))

Let G be a finite abelian group, let $n \ge 2$, and let S be a sequence of terms from G with

(a) |S| = |G| + n,

(b) satisfying the coset condition, and

(c) having maximum multiplicity at most n.

Then $\Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G$ whenever

- 1. $n \ge \exp(G)$, or
- 2. $n \ge \exp(G) 1$, $G \cong H \oplus C_{\exp(G)}$, and either |H| or $\exp(G)$ is prime, or
- 3. $n \ge \frac{|G|}{p} 1$ and G is cyclic, where p is the smallest prime divisor of |G|, or

4. $n \ge 2$ and either $\exp(G) \le 3$, or |G| < 12, or $\exp(G) = 4$ and |G| = 16.

Suppose S satisfies the coset condition. Then

$$|S| \ge |G| + \exp(G) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Sigma_{|G|}(S) = G,$$

with improvements for near cyclic groups that make the resulting bounds optimal.

The Partition Theorem

Theorem (Subsums Kneser Theorem) Let G be an abelian group, let S be a sequence with $|S| \ge n \ge 1$ and maximum multiplicity at most n. Let $H = H(\Sigma_n(S)) = \{g \in G : g + \Sigma_n(S) = \Sigma_n(S)\}.$

The Partition Theorem

Theorem (Subsums Kneser Theorem)

Let G be an abelian group, let S be a sequence with

 $|S| \ge n \ge 1$ and maximum multiplicity at most n. Let $H = H(\Sigma_n(S)) = \{g \in G : g + \Sigma_n(S) = \Sigma_n(S)\}.$ Then

$$|\Sigma_n(S)| \ge |S| - (n-1)|H| + e(|H| - 1) + \rho,$$

where $X \subseteq G/H$ is the subset of all $x \in G/H$ having multiplicity at least n in $\varphi_H(S)$,

 $e \ge 0$ is the number of terms from $\varphi_H(S)$ not contained in X, and $\rho = |X||H|n + e - |S| \ge 0$.

► (Bollobás-Leader) $0 \notin \Sigma_{|G|}(S) \Rightarrow |\Sigma_{|G|}(S)| \ge |S| - |G| + 1$

- ► (Bollobás-Leader) $0 \notin \Sigma_{|G|}(S) \Rightarrow |\Sigma_{|G|}(S)| \ge |S| |G| + 1$
- Estimates for the number of |G|-term zero-sums in a sequence of length n. For n ≤ ¹⁹/₃|G|, there are at least (^[n]/_{|G|}) + (^[n]/_{|G|}).

- ► (Bollobás-Leader) $0 \notin \Sigma_{|G|}(S) \Rightarrow |\Sigma_{|G|}(S)| \ge |S| |G| + 1$
- ▶ Estimates for the number of |G|-term zero-sums in a sequence of length *n*. For $n \leq \frac{19}{3}|G|$, there are at least $\binom{\lceil \frac{n}{2}}{|G|} + \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}}{|G|}$.
- Common tool used for handling zero-sum Ramsey Theory questions

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- ► (Bollobás-Leader) $0 \notin \Sigma_{|G|}(S) \Rightarrow |\Sigma_{|G|}(S)| \ge |S| |G| + 1$
- Estimates for the number of |G|-term zero-sums in a sequence of length n. For n ≤ ¹⁹/₃|G|, there are at least (^[n]/_{|G|}) + (^[n]/_{|G|}).
- Common tool used for handling zero-sum Ramsey Theory questions

Connection with Matroid Theory:

A Matroid Theory Conjecture

Conjecture (Schrijver and Seymour)

Let M be a matroid, let G be an abelian group, and let $w:M\rightarrow G$ be a function. If

$$w(M) = \{\sum_{x \in B} w(x) : B \text{ is a basis for } M\}$$

is aperiodic, then

$$|w(M)| \ge \sum_{x \in G} \mathsf{rk}\Big(w^{-1}(x)\Big) - \mathsf{rk}(M) + 1$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

A Matroid Theory Conjecture

Conjecture (Schrijver and Seymour)

Let M be a matroid, let G be an abelian group, and let $w : M \to G$ be a function. If

$$w(M) = \{\sum_{x \in B} w(x) : B \text{ is a basis for } M\}$$

is aperiodic, then

$$|w(M)| \ge \sum_{x \in G} \mathsf{rk}\Big(w^{-1}(x)\Big) - \mathsf{rk}(M) + 1$$

► To derive the previous result, take M to be |S| points in ℝⁿ in general position, each mapped to one of the terms from S.

Improved Version for large n

Theorem

Let G be a finite abelian group, let $n \ge 1$, let S be a sequence of terms from G, and let $H = H(\Sigma_n(S))$. Suppose $n \ge \exp(G/H) + 1$.

Then the terms of S can be partitioned into nonempty sets $A_1, \ldots, A_n \subseteq G$ such that either

(i)
$$|\Sigma_n(S)| \ge \min\{|G|, |S| - n + 1\}$$
, or else

(ii) there exists a nontrivial subgroup $K \le H < G$ such that

Summary

Suppose S satisfies the coset condition with maximum multiplicity at most n. Then

$$n \ge \exp(G) + 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\Sigma_n(S)| \ge \min\{|G|, |S| - n + 1\},$$

with improvements for near cyclic groups that make the resulting bounds optimal.

Definition For subsets $A, B \subseteq G$, we let

$$A + B = \{a + b: a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and $nA = \underbrace{A + \ldots + A}_{n}$

Definition For subsets $A, B \subseteq G$, we let

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and $nA = \underbrace{A + \ldots + A}_{n}$

If |A + B| is small (in comparison to |A| and |B|), then A, B and A + B must be highly structured.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Definition For subsets $A, B \subseteq G$, we let

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and $nA = \underbrace{A + \ldots + A}_{n}$

If |A + B| is small (in comparison to |A| and |B|), then A, B and A + B must be highly structured.

 If |A + B| < |A| + |B|, then Kemperman (1960) gave a complete recursive description of all possible A, B ⊆ G for an arbitrary abelian group G.

Definition For subsets $A, B \subseteq G$, we let

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and $nA = \underbrace{A + \ldots + A}_{n}$

If |A + B| is small (in comparison to |A| and |B|), then A, B and A + B must be highly structured.

 If |A + B| < |A| + |B|, then Kemperman (1960) gave a complete recursive description of all possible A, B ⊆ G for an arbitrary abelian group G.

• Extended to the case $|A + B| \le |A| + |B|$ (G. 2005).

An iterated extension

Theorem (G. 2018)

Let G be a nontrivial abelian group, let $A \subseteq G$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A \rangle = G$, and let $n \ge 3$ be an integer. Suppose nA is aperiodic, $|A| \ge 4$ and |nA| < (|A|+1)n-3. Then one of the following must hold.

- (i) There is an arithmetic progression P ⊆ G such that A ⊆ P and |P| ≤ |A| + 1.
- (ii) There are subgroups K_1 , K_2 , H < G with $H = K_1 \oplus K_2 \cong C_2 \oplus C_2$ such that

$$z+A = (x+K_1)\cup(y+H)\cup\ldots\cup((r-1)y+H)\cup(ry+K_2)$$
 with $r \ge 1$,

for some $z \in G$, $x \in H$ and $y \in G \setminus H$.

(iii) There is a subgroup H < G with |H| = 2 such that

$$z + A = \{x\} \cup (y + H) \cup \ldots \cup (ry + H) \cup \{(r+1)y\}$$
 with $r \ge 1$,

for some $z \in G$, $x \in H$ and $y \in G \setminus H$.

An iterated extension

(iv) There is a nontrivial subgroup H < G such that

$$z+A = \{0\} \cup (y+(H \setminus \{x\})) \cup (2y+H) \cup \ldots \cup (ry+H) \text{ with } r \ge 1,$$

for some $z \in G$, $x \in H$ and $y \in G \setminus H$, with $r \ge 2$ when |H| = 2.

(v) There is a nontrivial subgroup H < G, nonempty $A_0 \subseteq H$ and set

$$P = A_0 \cup (y + H) \cup \ldots \cup (ry + H)$$
 with $r \ge 1$

for some $y \in G \setminus H$, such that

(a) $A_0 \subseteq z + A \subseteq P$ with $|P| = |A| + \epsilon \leq |A| + 1$, for some $z \in G$, (b) nA_0 is aperiodic, (c) either $|A_0| = 1$ or $|nA_0| < \min\{|\langle A_0 \rangle_*|, (|A_0| + 1 - \epsilon)n - 3\}$, (d) $nA \setminus nA_0$ is *H*-periodic, and (e) $|nA| - |A|n = |nA_0| - |A_0|n + \epsilon n$.

Thanks!